

# OHIO COURT OF APPEALS AFFIRMS “PAYING QUANTITIES” RULING AGAINST LOCAL PRODUCER



David J. Wigham | Attorney

On July 3, 2018, Ohio’s Seventh District Court of Appeals issued another significant decision clarifying Ohio law regarding “production paying quantities” that could impact the future of many “lack of production” cases in Ohio. In *Kraynak v. Koy L. Whitaker*, 2018-Ohio-2784, the Court of Appeals, among other things, clarified what operating expenses should be considered in calculating the profitability of an oil and gas well to determine if the well is producing in sufficient quantities to hold the oil and gas lease in its secondary term.

To understand the depth of this ruling, it is important to understand the concept of “paying quantities” and why it matters under an oil and gas lease. In Ohio, most oil and gas leases contain a primary term and a secondary term. The primary term is a period of years within which the producer must commence drilling operations in search of oil and gas. If, after the expiration of the primary term, the conditions of the secondary term are not being met, then the lease automatically expires by its own terms.

The secondary term of an oil and gas lease is indefinite and extends the producer’s rights under the lease, typically “for

so long as oil and gas are produced in paying quantities.” In order to extend an oil and gas beyond its primary term, oil or gas must actually be discovered and produced in paying quantities. In other words, there must be actual production from a well, and that production must generate a profit over and above operating expenses attributed to the well or wells drilled under the lease.

An oil and gas lease that is in its secondary term automatically expires on the day the well stops producing in paying quantities. Once a lease expires, ownership of the mineral rights for all formations covered by the lease, typically including the Shale rights, reverts back to the landowner. This allows the landowner to lease its mineral rights, in certain situations, to a Shale producer for a lucrative bonus and a higher royalty; hence, the significance of whether a lease is being held by production from a well that is producing in “paying quantities.”

The Ohio Supreme Court defined “paying quantities” in *Blausey v. Stein* as: “quantities of oil or gas sufficient to yield a profit, even small, to the lessee over operating expenses, even though the drilling costs, or equipping costs, are not recovered, and even though the un-

dertaking as a whole may result in loss.” More recent rulings by Ohio’s Seventh Appellate District have further shaped this analysis. For example, whether a well is profitable is usually left to the good faith judgment of the producer, and the party asserting the claim that the well is not producing in paying quantities carried the burden of proof. Also, only direct operating costs, and not indirect costs that do not contribute to the production of oil and gas, will be considered in a paying quantities analysis. Moreover, a producer cannot report income under the *Blausey* test without first subtracting the landowner royalties paid to the lessor because royalty paid to the lessor from the well’s production cannot qualify as “profit to the lessee over operating expenses.” Finally, regarding the issue of operating expenses, a producer cannot stop allocating internal operating expenses for operating of the well because, by not charging internal operating expenses, the expenses of the well would be artificially deflated (and the profitability of the well would be artificially inflated).

In the *Kraynak* case, the landowner leased his 99-acre farm in Monroe County, Ohio to *Whitaker Enterprises* in

*Ruling continued on page 14*

Ruling continued from page 13

2006. Whitaker operated the K. Kraynak No. 1 well on this property. Later, the Shale rights under this lease were assigned to Gulfport Energy.

Whitaker Enterprises also owned and operated Whitaker Store, which was the third-party operator responsible for servicing the well. Between 2012 and 2015, Whitaker Enterprises paid Whitaker Store \$300 per month, or \$3,600 per year, to operate the well. Between this period, when factoring in production income and operating expenses, the well was determined to be not profitable for each of these four years. Also, Whitaker could not reallocate the \$300 monthly operating expenses as “indirect” expenses, because doing so would artificially make the well appear profitable. Accordingly,

the Court of Appeals affirmed the trial court’s ruling that the Whitaker lease had expired. As a result of this ruling, ownership of the minerals, including Gulfport’s Shale rights, reverted to the landowner.

The Kraynak case is another important decision in the current legal battleground over the ownership of the valuable mineral rights in Ohio. This ruling elaborates on how Ohio law interprets “production in paying quantities.” This case also illustrates how producers cannot internally alter operating costs attributable to a well in an effort to hold a lease that also covers the Shale rights. And, given the recent downturn in oil and gas prices, producers are facing ever increasing challenges to operate wells profitably in order to continue to control ownership of the valu-

able mineral rights. This opens the door for landowners to challenge lease validity. Landowners are encouraged to seek counsel from an experienced oil and gas attorney to help determine whether a case exists against a producer who is attempting to operate a well in “paying quantities” to hold a lease in its secondary term, and thereby deprive the mineral owner of lucrative lease bonuses and higher royalties.

*David J. Wigham is a second-generation oil and gas attorney at the firm of Roetzel & Andress, with more than 25 years of experience in the industry. He maintains offices in Akron and Wooster, Ohio, and can be reached at 330-762-7969 or [dwigham@ralaw.com](mailto:dwigham@ralaw.com).*



## Connolly, Hillyer, & Ong, Inc.

Attorneys and Counselors at Law Since 1964

Brad L. Hillyer • James J. Ong  
Brett H. Hillyer

201 N. Main St., P.O. Box 272 • Uhrichsville, Ohio 44683  
(740) 922-4161 • (740) 922-2229 Fax  
139 E. Main St., P.O. Box 71 • Newcomerstown, Ohio 43832  
(740) 498-5196 • (740) 498-5197 Fax  
[hillyerlaw@hillyerlawoffice.com](mailto:hillyerlaw@hillyerlawoffice.com)

CS-10682620

## HUGE RV SAVINGS!



**Prime Time Sanibel 3651**

**MSRP \$84,766 SAVE \$24,761**

**NOW \$59,999\***

Yours For Only **\$405** Per Mo. <sup>1</sup>



**Dutchmen Voltage VT3551**

**MSRP \$68,898 SAVE \$19,899**

**NOW \$48,999\***

Yours For Only **\$335** Per Mo. <sup>1</sup>



**3063 Greensburg Rd. North Canton, OH**  
**330-896-8977 | [GeneralRV.com](http://GeneralRV.com)**

**Store Hours: MON • THUR: 9am–8pm**  
**TUE • WED • FRI: 9am–6pm | SAT: 9am–4pm**

**GENERAL**  
**RV CENTER**

**OPEN SUNDAYS!**  
**11am–4pm**

\*General RV is not responsible for printing and/or pricing errors. Availability of units depicted in this flyer are limited to quantity on hand. At least one unit is available at the advertised price. All images shown in this ad are for illustration purposes only. All RV prices exclude tax, title, registration and fees, including documentary service fees. Sale prices include all special offer rebates and discounts, offers not valid on prior sales. All payments are with approved credit through dealer lending source. All pricing and payments in this flyer expire September 30, 2018. (1) Advertised monthly payment is based on 20% cash down, plus tax, title, registration and fees, at 5.99% APR for 84 months on finance amounts under \$10K, at 5.99% APR for 120 months on finance amounts from \$10K to \$15K, at 5.99% APR for 144 months on finance amounts from \$15K to \$25K, at 5.99% APR for 180 months on amounts from \$25K to \$50K, and at 5.99% APR for 240 months on amounts over \$50K.